SOU	ΓHERN	ATES DISTRICT CO DISTRICT OF NEV		V
			If and all others similarly situated	
-V- KEITH DE LELLIS GALLERY, LLC,			Plaintiff(s), Defendant(s).	:
LORI	NA G. S	SCHOFIELD, United	l States District Judge:	
Civ. I	This (2) 26(f)	_	ent Plan is submitted by t	he parties in accordance with Fed. R.
1.	proce 28 U.	eedings before a Unit S.C. § 636(c). The p	ed States Magistrate Judgo parties are free to withhold	_] to conducting all further e, including motions and trial. <i>See</i> Il consent without adverse substantive g paragraphs need not be completed.]
2.	The p	parties [haveX	/ have not] confer	rred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).
3. This case is governed by one of the following sets of rule dates in this order have been adjusted accordingly.		of rules, and the parties' proposed		
	a.		nysd.uscourts.gov/cases/	Discovery Protocols for Employment show.php?db=judge_info&id=713.
	b.	Against the City of http://www.nysd.u	f New York?	Plan for Certain § 1983 Cases on/Local%20Civil%20Rule%2083.10.
	c.	http://www.nysd.u df and	scourts.gov/rules/Standin s.gov/cases/show.php?db	les and the Court's Individual Rules? g Order In re Local Patent Rules.p =judge_info&id=1491

	d.	A wage and hour case governed by Initial Discovery Protocols for Fair Labor Standards Act? http://nysd.uscourts.gov/cases/show.php?db=judge_info&id=1492 [Yes/ NoX _]			
4.	Altei	rnative Dispute Resolution/Settlement			
	a.	Settlement discussions [havex/ have not] taken place.			
	b.	Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement and have agreed to exchange the following: N/A			
	C.	Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms for use in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and (iii) retention of a private mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case: District's Mediation Program			
	d.	Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 4(c) be employed at the following point in the case (<i>e.g.</i> , within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery): Before the close of fact discovery.			
	e.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.			
5.	No a	No additional parties may be joined after without leave of Court.			
6.	Ame	mended pleadings may be filed without leave of Court until			
7.		nitial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than 14 days from the date of this Order. [Within 14 days of the parties' Rule 26(f) conference, absent exceptional circumstances.] Please see joint letter re Defendant's request for deferral of			
e joint l	letter re D	efendant's disclosure and discovery until its Rule 12(b) motion is decided			

**Please see joint letter re Defendant' position that Plaintiff should make any amendment of his complaint before Defendant's Rule 12(b) motion for facial insufficiency of the pleading is filed.

8.	Fact I	Discovery	Please see joint letter re Defendant's request for deferral of disclosure and discovery until its Rule 12(b) motion is decided.			
	a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than				
		[A period not to exceed 120 days, unless the Court				
		unique complexities or other exceptional circumsta	ances.]			
	b.	Initial requests for production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 shall be served by 7/11/19				
	c.	Responsive documents shall be produced by 8/11/1 Do the parties anticipate e-discovery? [Yes X				
	d.	Interrogatories pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 shall	be served by			
	e.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31 shall 9/28/19	l be completed by			
	f.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served by 10/14/19				
	g.	Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 8(b) through written consent of all parties without application all fact discovery is completed by the date set for	n to the Court, provided that			
9.	Exper	t Discovery [if applicable]				
	a.	Anticipated types of experts if any: Plaintiff anticipates using experts in ADA compliance				
		Defendant anticipates designating a rebuttal expert				
	b.	If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), all expert discovery shall be completed no later than12/12/19 [Within 45 days from the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery, absent exceptional circumstances.] Omit if you have not identified types of experts.				
	c.	If you have identified types of experts in question of than one month before the date in paragraph 8(a), discovery] the parties shall meet and confer on a scincluding reports, production of underlying documentat (i) expert report(s) of the party with the burden	i.e., the completion of all fact chedule for expert disclosures, ents and depositions, provided			

This	case [is $\underline{\chi}$ / is not] to be tried to a jury.
	nsel for the parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial 3 Days
	r issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below:
Def	endant requests a schedule for any class certification motion at an early practicable time.
Statu	as Letters and Conferences
a.	By 12/27/19 [60 days after the commencement of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a joint status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2.
b.	By 11/11/19 [14 days after the close of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a joint status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2 and, in the event that they have not already been referred for settlement discussions, shall also advise the Court whether or not they request a referral for settlement discussions as provided in Paragraph 4(c) above.
c.	On 11/11/19 at 11 A.M. [usually 14 days after the close of all discovery], a pre-motion conference will be held for any anticipated dispositive motions, provided:
	i. A party wishing to file a summary judgment or other dispositive motion shall file a pre-motion letter at least two weeks before the conference and in the form provided in the Court's Individual Rule III.A.1. Any party wishing to oppose shall file a responsive letter as provided in the same Individual Rule. The motion will be discussed at the conference.
	ii. If no pre-motion letter is timely filed, this conference will be canceled and

not stay pretrial deadlines or the trial date.

the matter placed on the Court's trial-ready calendar. The parties will be notified of the assigned trial-ready date and the filing deadlines for pretrial submissions. The parties are warned that any settlement discussions will

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) or by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein, except as provided in paragraph 8(f), shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and shall be made no less than 2 business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the dates under paragraphs 5, 6, 8(a), 9(b)-(c) and 13(a)-(c) into the Court's calendar.

SO ORDERED.	
Dated: New York, New York	
	LORNA G. SCHOFIELD United States District Judge
Counsel for the Parties:	
Joseph H. Mizrahi	Michael Spencer
Cohen & Mizrahi LLP	Milberg Tadler Phillips Grossman LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant